

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,881	02/02/2001	Sunny Behl	P-2196.01/056 3958		
20350	7590 06/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	D AND TOWNSEND	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/775,88		BEHL, SUNNY	ì			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Boris L. Ch	nervinsky	2835				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica				_ `			
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutors to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 77 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ays, a reply within the statu by period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status	·							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>27 <i>April 2005</i></u> .			•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
· ·		dication			••			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are wi		ideration		•			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				· · ·			
	•	Yaminer		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by				;			
Dui a uita	de 05 11 0 0 0 1440							
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				·			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•			
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have hee	n received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •					
	application from the International	· -						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f	•	* **	d.				
			-					
					••			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		•			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5)			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	C/C6/00)	6) Other:		• • •			

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) decision the rejection of claims 1-6 and 13-18 is reversed, the rejection of claims 7, 8 and 9-12 is affirmed, therefore claims 7, 8 and 9-12 are withdrawn from consideration and canceled by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 13, 14, 17 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang.

Chang discloses a device for removably mounting a hard disk drive in a memory storage housing comprising a carrier 50 for holding a hard disk, the carrier having rails to be slidably mounted in the memory storage device 30, a face plate 70, a fan 77 and a handle mounted on the face plate, an upper cover 60 having slots 62 for heat dissipation is slidably mounted on the carrier 50.

Chang discloses the claimed invention except indicating that the upper cover 60 is a heat sink or element that is absorbing some heat. The decision of BPAI includes the statement that: "it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the ventilating slots62 could be substituted for a finned heat sink material, or that the heat

dissipation can be improved by adding fins to the cover 60 of Chan made from a heat absorbing material" (see Page 5- Page 6).

3. Claims 3, 4, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Lin ,036.

Chang discloses the claimed invention, as shown above, except a filter being mounted on the faceplate. Lin discloses the removable filter mounted on the faceplate to filter air. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have filter as disclosed by Lin in the structure disclosed by Chang to provide clean cooling air into the housing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/775,881

Art Unit: 2835

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHĖRVINSKY. PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/16/5

Page 4